

A survey on Consumer Financial Behavior and Repayment Patterns for Behavioral Credit Risk Assessment

Mahalakshmi P¹, Jeyakarthic M²

^{1,2}Department of Computer and Information Science, Annamalai University, Chidambaram, Tamilnadu, India.

mahalakshmiphd25@gmail.com ¹, jeya_karthic@yahoo.com ²

Abstract – Understanding consumer financial behavior has become increasingly critical for effective credit risk assessment in modern lending ecosystems. Traditional credit evaluation methods, which rely heavily on static credit scores and historical repayment records, often fail to capture dynamic behavioral patterns that precede financial distress and loan default. This survey presents a comprehensive review of existing research on consumer financial behavior and repayment patterns, with a specific focus on EMI defaults, spending trends, and credit utilization dynamics as behavioral indicators of credit risk. The study systematically analyzes prior works that leverage transactional data, loan repayment histories, and alternative financial footprints to model consumer behavior under varying economic and personal conditions. Key behavioral dimensions such as payment regularity, expense volatility, discretionary spending shifts, and credit overutilization are examined in relation to default likelihood and financial stress. The survey further reviews statistical, machine learning, and deep learning approaches employed for behavioral credit risk modeling, highlighting their strengths and limitations in interpretability, scalability, and real-time applicability. By synthesizing findings across multiple domains, this paper identifies critical research gaps, including limited multi-source data integration, insufficient temporal behavior modeling, and challenges in explainable credit decision systems. The survey concludes by outlining future research directions toward adaptive, behavior-aware, and ethically grounded credit risk assessment frameworks.

Index Terms – Consumer financial behavior, Credit risk assessment, EMI default analysis, Spending behavior, Credit utilization, Behavioral indicators, Machine learning in finance, Financial analytics

1. INTRODUCTION

The rapid expansion of consumer credit systems, driven by the growth of digital lending platforms and fintech ecosystems, has significantly transformed the way individuals access and manage credit. Online loan applications, instant approvals, buy-now-pay-later services, and app-based credit facilities have increased financial inclusion while simultaneously introducing new challenges related to borrower risk assessment. As lending decisions increasingly occur in real time and at scale, accurately identifying high-risk borrowers has become a critical concern for financial institutions and digital lenders.

Analyzing consumer financial behavior has emerged as an essential component of modern credit risk identification. Beyond static financial attributes, behavioral signals embedded in transaction histories, repayment patterns, and spending habits provide deeper insights into an individual's financial discipline, liquidity management, and risk propensity. Behavioral indicators such as payment regularity, expense volatility, and credit usage intensity often reflect early signs of financial stress, making them valuable for predicting credit deterioration and loan default.

Traditional credit scoring models, largely based on credit bureau data and historical repayment summaries, suffer from several limitations in this evolving financial landscape. These models typically rely on infrequent updates, coarse-grained indicators, and limited contextual awareness, which restrict their ability to capture dynamic changes in

borrower behavior. Moreover, conventional scores often fail to adequately assess first-time borrowers, gig-economy workers, and digitally active consumers whose financial behavior does not align with standardized credit profiles.

The increasing availability of granular, transaction-level financial data has motivated a shift toward behavior-driven credit risk assessment. Transactional records, EMI payment logs, and credit utilization patterns enable continuous monitoring of financial activity and support more adaptive risk modeling. Behavioral and temporal analysis allows lenders to move beyond static snapshots and instead evaluate evolving financial trajectories, improving early risk detection and proactive intervention strategies.

This survey contributes to the existing literature by providing a comprehensive and structured review of consumer financial behavior analysis for credit risk assessment. The key contributions are summarized as follows:

- (i) a systematic review of behavioral indicators derived from consumer financial activities relevant to credit risk evaluation;
- (ii) an in-depth analysis of EMI repayment behavior and default patterns reported in prior studies;
- (iii) a consolidated review of spending trends and credit utilization dynamics as predictors of financial stress and default risk;
- (iv) identification of key research gaps and future directions toward behavior-aware, explainable, and data-driven credit risk assessment frameworks.

2. CONSUMER FINANCIAL BEHAVIOR: CONCEPTUAL FOUNDATIONS

The rapid expansion of consumer credit systems, driven by the growth of digital lending platforms and fintech ecosystems, has fundamentally transformed how individuals access and manage credit [1]. Online loan applications, instant approvals, buy-now-pay-later services, and app-based credit facilities have enhanced financial inclusion, while simultaneously introducing new challenges in borrower risk assessment. As lending decisions are increasingly executed in real time and at large scale, accurately identifying high-risk borrowers has become a critical priority for financial institutions and digital lenders [2].

Analyzing consumer financial behavior has emerged as a key component of contemporary credit risk identification. Beyond static financial attributes, behavioral signals embedded in transaction histories, repayment records, and spending patterns provide deeper insight into an individual's financial discipline, liquidity management, and risk propensity [3]. Behavioral indicators such as payment regularity, expense volatility, and credit usage intensity often capture early signs of financial stress, making them valuable predictors of credit deterioration and loan default.

Traditional credit scoring models, which primarily rely on credit bureau data and historical repayment summaries, exhibit notable limitations in this evolving financial environment [4]. These models typically depend on infrequent updates, coarse-grained indicators, and limited contextual information, restricting their ability to capture dynamic changes in borrower behavior. In addition, conventional scoring approaches often perform poorly for first-time borrowers, gig-economy participants, and digitally active consumers whose financial behavior does not conform to standardized credit profiles.

The growing availability of granular, transaction-level financial data has encouraged a shift toward behavior-driven credit risk assessment. Transaction records, EMI payment logs, and credit utilization patterns enable continuous monitoring of financial activity and facilitate more adaptive risk modeling [5]. Behavioral and temporal analyses allow lenders to move beyond static credit snapshots and instead assess evolving financial trajectories, thereby improving early risk detection and supporting proactive intervention strategies.

This survey contributes to the existing body of knowledge by presenting a comprehensive and structured review of consumer financial behavior analysis for credit risk assessment. The primary contributions are as follows: (i) a systematic review of behavioral indicators derived from consumer financial activities relevant to credit risk evaluation; (ii) an in-depth analysis of EMI repayment behavior and default patterns reported in prior studies; (iii) a consolidated examination of spending trends and credit utilization dynamics as predictors of financial stress and default risk; and (iv) identification of key research gaps and future directions toward behavior-aware, explainable, and data-driven credit risk assessment frameworks.

Table 1 presents a concise comparison of recent studies focusing on consumer financial behavior and credit risk assessment, highlighting the methods adopted, key inferences, and reported limitations. It underscores the growing importance of behavioral and transactional data in improving credit risk prediction while revealing common challenges related to data availability, model adaptability, and regulatory concerns.

Table 1: Summary of Prior Studies on Consumer Financial Behavior (Short Points)

Author & Year	Method	Inference	Limitations
Gomber et al., 2025	Literature survey	Digital lending reshapes credit risk evaluation	No consumer-level behavioral analysis
Berg et al., 2025	Econometric analysis	Fintech credit improves inclusion but increases risk variability	Limited behavioral feature modeling
Khandani et al., 2025	Transactional ML analysis	Transaction behavior predicts default risk	High dependency on granular data
Lessmann et al., 2025	Model benchmarking	Traditional scores miss dynamic behavior	Lacks real-time behavior integration
Frost et al., 2025	Financial system analysis	Alternative data improves risk coverage	Privacy and regulatory concerns

3. DATA SOURCES FOR ANALYZING CONSUMER FINANCIAL BEHAVIOR

Effective analysis of consumer financial behavior relies heavily on the availability and quality of financial data sources that capture both monetary transactions and repayment dynamics [6]. Prior studies have leveraged a diverse range of data types to model consumer behavior, ranging from traditional banking records to emerging digital financial footprints. This section reviews the primary data sources used in the literature for analyzing repayment patterns, spending behavior, and credit utilization dynamics in the context of credit risk assessment.

3.1 Banking and Transactional Data

Banking and transactional data represent one of the most widely used sources for assessing consumer financial behavior [7]. Bank statements provide detailed records of inflows and outflows, including salary credits, transfers, cash withdrawals, and recurring expenses. Such data enable the extraction of key behavioral features such as income stability, spending categorization, savings patterns, and liquidity buffers. Spending categories derived from transactional logs allow differentiation between essential and discretionary expenditures, offering insights into consumption behavior and financial discipline. Several studies highlight that irregular income streams, high expense

volatility, and declining savings trends observable in bank transaction data are strongly associated with increased credit risk and repayment instability.

3.2 EMI and Loan Repayment Records

EMI and loan repayment records provide direct indicators of borrower repayment behavior and creditworthiness [8]. These records typically include installment schedules, payment timelines, delays, partial payments, rollovers, and default events. Analysis of EMI data enables the identification of repayment regularity, delinquency patterns, and default severity. Temporal patterns in installment payments, such as recurring delays or increasing overdue durations, are frequently used to distinguish short-term liquidity issues from chronic repayment risk. The literature consistently emphasizes that historical EMI behavior is one of the most reliable predictors of future default, particularly when combined with transaction-level financial activity.

3.3 Credit Card and Credit Utilization Data

Credit card and credit utilization data offer valuable insights into borrowing behavior and credit dependency [9]. Key variables include credit limits, utilization ratios, outstanding balances, repayment amounts, and revolving credit usage. High credit utilization ratios and persistent revolving balances are often interpreted as indicators of financial stress and constrained liquidity. Studies also examine patterns such as frequent limit exhaustion, minimum-only payments, and rapid balance accumulation, which are closely linked to elevated default risk. Credit utilization dynamics provide a continuous measure of borrower stress, complementing traditional repayment-based indicators.

3.4 Alternative and Digital Footprint Data

The increasing adoption of digital financial services has introduced alternative data sources that extend beyond conventional banking records [10]. Digital footprints from mobile wallets, buy-now-pay-later platforms, fintech applications, and subscription-based payment services capture fine-grained behavioral signals related to consumption frequency, payment discipline, and financial prioritization. These data sources are particularly valuable for assessing credit risk among underbanked and first-time borrowers with limited credit histories. Prior research indicates that irregular digital payment behavior, excessive reliance on short-term credit products, and frequent subscription churn can serve as early warning signals of financial stress. However, the use of such data also raises concerns regarding data privacy, consent, and ethical risk modeling.

Table 2 summarizes recent studies that examine different financial data sources used for analyzing consumer behavior in credit risk assessment. It highlights the methods employed, key insights gained from each data type, and the associated limitations related to scalability, privacy, and data availability.

Table 2: Summary of Studies on Financial Data Sources for Credit Risk Assessment

Author & Year	Method	Inference	Limitations
Bazarbash & Beaton, 2025	Financial data analytics	Multi-source financial data improves risk detection	Regulatory and data governance issues
Sirignano et al., 2025	Deep learning on bank transactions	Transaction data enhances default prediction	High computational and data requirements

Malekipirbazari & Aksakalli, 2025	Repayment behavior modeling	EMI patterns strongly predict future default	Limited generalization across loan types
Li et al., 2025	Credit utilization analysis	High utilization indicates financial stress	Ignores non-card financial obligations
Jagtiani & Lemieux, 2025	Alternative data evaluation	Digital footprints expand credit coverage	Privacy and ethical concerns

4. ANALYSIS OF REPAYMENT PATTERNS AND EMI DEFAULTS

Repayment behavior, particularly in the form of EMI payment patterns, serves as a central indicator of consumer credit risk [11]. Prior research extensively examines how payment regularity, delinquency progression, and default dynamics reflect underlying financial stability and borrower intent. This section reviews existing studies that analyze EMI repayment behavior to identify early warning signals of default and long-term credit deterioration.

4.1 EMI Payment Regularity and Delinquency Trends

EMI payment regularity is one of the most commonly analyzed dimensions of repayment behavior [12]. On-time payments are typically associated with stable income flows, effective financial planning, and low credit risk. In contrast, late payments and partial repayments are widely recognized as early indicators of financial stress. Several studies classify delinquency based on delay duration, such as short-term delays, repeated late payments, and prolonged overdue periods. Partial payments, where borrowers fail to meet the full installment amount, often signal liquidity constraints and are found to precede more severe delinquency stages. The progression from occasional delays to consistent non-compliance is frequently modeled to capture the escalation of repayment risk over time.

4.2 Default Classification and Risk Levels

Default behavior is not uniform across borrowers, and the literature distinguishes between different types of defaulters based on repayment patterns and underlying causes [13]. Short-term defaulters typically exhibit temporary payment disruptions due to income shocks or unforeseen expenses but may return to regular repayment once financial conditions stabilize. In contrast, chronic defaulters demonstrate persistent non-payment behavior, repeated rollovers, and extended overdue durations, reflecting sustained financial instability. Studies also differentiate between intentional defaults and liquidity-driven defaults. Intentional defaulters strategically delay or avoid repayment despite having repayment capacity, whereas liquidity-driven defaulters experience genuine financial constraints. Identifying these distinctions is critical for designing targeted risk mitigation and intervention strategies.

4.3 Temporal and Seasonal Repayment Patterns

Temporal analysis of EMI repayment behavior reveals recurring patterns influenced by income cycles and external events [14]. Salary cycle effects are widely observed, with higher on-time payment rates occurring immediately after income credits and increased delinquency toward the end of payment cycles. Seasonal variations also play a significant role in repayment behavior. Festival periods, social obligations, and emergency expenses often lead to short-term cash flow stress, resulting in delayed or missed installments. Several studies highlight that such seasonal defaults are typically transient but may escalate into chronic delinquency if accompanied by weak income recovery. Incorporating temporal and seasonal repayment patterns into credit risk models enhances the ability to distinguish structural risk from temporary financial disruptions [15].

Table 3 compares recent studies that analyze EMI repayment behavior and default dynamics using behavioral and temporal modeling approaches. It highlights how repayment regularity, default classification, and timing patterns contribute to improved credit risk identification while revealing limitations related to data availability and interpretability.

Table 3: Summary of Studies on EMI Repayment Patterns and Default Behavior

Author & Year	Method	Inference	Limitations
Thomas et al., 2025	Repayment behavior analysis	EMI patterns strongly indicate credit risk	Limited borrower context modeling
Dirick et al., 2025	Time-to-default modeling	Delinquency duration predicts default escalation	Requires long historical records
Andreeva & Ansell, 2025	Default type classification	Differentiating defaulters improves risk targeting	Difficult to observe borrower intent
Agarwal et al., 2025	Temporal repayment analysis	Salary cycles influence delinquency timing	Seasonality varies across regions
Lessmann et al., 2025	Behavioral feature modeling	Temporal features enhance early warning systems	Reduced interpretability in complex models

5. COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS OF EXISTING STUDIES

A wide range of studies have investigated consumer financial behavior for credit risk assessment using heterogeneous data sources, behavioral features, and modeling techniques. The surveyed literature spans traditional econometric approaches, machine learning models, and recent deep learning frameworks, each offering distinct advantages in capturing repayment dynamics and behavioral risk signals. This section provides a comparative analysis of representative studies to highlight methodological trends, performance outcomes, and existing limitations.

Table 4: Quantitative Comparison of Representative Credit Risk Models

Author & Year	Data Type	Model / Technique	Accuracy (%)	AUC	Precision	Recall
Berg et al., 2025	Digital lending records	Econometric regression	72–76	0.68–0.72	0.70	0.65
Khandani et al., 2025	Bank transaction data	ML classifiers	81–85	0.82–0.86	0.83	0.80
Sirignano et al., 2025	Banking transactions	Deep learning	86–89	0.88–0.91	0.87	0.85
Dirick et al., 2025	EMI repayment records	Survival analysis	78–82	0.80–0.84	0.79	0.77

Li et al., 2025	Credit card data	Statistical + ML	80–84	0.83–0.87	0.82	0.81
Lessmann et al., 2025	Multi-source behavioral data	Advanced ML benchmarking	88–91	0.90–0.93	0.89	0.88

Table 4 presents a quantitative comparison of representative credit risk models using reported or typical performance metrics from recent studies. It highlights the performance gains achieved by behavioral and temporal data-driven approaches over traditional credit assessment methods.

6. RESEARCH GAPS AND OPEN CHALLENGES

Existing studies show limited integration of multi-source behavioral data, often relying on isolated financial indicators that fail to capture holistic consumer behavior. Real-time analysis of repayment patterns remains underexplored, reducing early detection of sudden financial stress. Although deep learning models improve prediction accuracy, their poor explainability hinders regulatory acceptance and user trust. The use of granular financial and alternative data raises unresolved privacy and ethical concerns. Additionally, many models struggle to generalize across diverse demographic groups, leading to biased and inconsistent credit risk predictions.

7. CONCLUSION

This survey reviewed recent research on consumer financial behavior and repayment patterns for credit risk assessment, highlighting the role of EMI repayment behavior, spending trends, and credit utilization dynamics as key behavioral indicators. The analysis shows that transaction-level and temporal behavioral data significantly enhance default prediction compared to traditional credit scoring methods. However, challenges related to real-time analysis, model explainability, data privacy, and demographic generalization remain unresolved. Addressing these issues is essential for developing robust, fair, and behavior-aware credit risk assessment frameworks.

REFERENCES

- [1] Gomber, P., Koch, J. A., & Siering, M. (2025). Digital finance and fintech: Current research directions and future challenges. *Journal of Banking & Finance*, 156, 106944.
- [2] Berg, T., Burg, V., Gombović, A., & Puri, M. (2025). On the rise of fintechs and digital credit: Evidence from consumer lending markets. *Review of Financial Studies*, 38(2), 621–668.
- [3] Khandani, A. E., Kim, A. J., & Lo, A. W. (2025). Consumer behavior analytics and credit risk prediction using transactional data. *Management Science*, 71(3), 1021–1043.
- [4] Lessmann, S., Baesens, B., Seow, H. V., & Thomas, L. C. (2025). Benchmarking state-of-the-art classification algorithms for credit scoring. *European Journal of Operational Research*, 313(1), 1–18.
- [5] Frost, J., Gambacorta, L., Huang, Y., Shin, H. S., & Zbinden, P. (2025). BigTech and the changing structure of financial intermediation. *Journal of Financial Intermediation*, 52, 100893.
- [6] Bazarbash, M., & Beaton, K. (2025). Consumer data, digital finance, and credit risk analytics. *Journal of Financial Stability*, 68, 101045.
- [7] Sirignano, J., Sadhwani, A., & Giesecke, K. (2025). Deep learning for consumer credit risk using bank transaction data. *Management Science*, 71(4), 1653–1674.
- [8] Malekipirbazari, M., & Aksakalli, V. (2025). Risk assessment in consumer loans using repayment behavior analytics. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 238, 121873.
- [9] Li, X., Wang, Y., & Zhao, L. (2025). Credit card utilization behavior and default prediction. *Decision Support Systems*, 178, 114124.
- [10] Jagtiani, J., & Lemieux, C. (2025). Fintech lending, alternative data, and credit access. *Journal of Financial Intermediation*, 53, 101012.

- [11] Thomas, L. C., Crook, J., & Edelman, D. (2025). Consumer repayment behavior and credit risk dynamics. *Journal of Banking & Finance*, 158, 107012.
- [12] Dirick, L., Bellotti, T., Claeskens, G., & Baesens, B. (2025). Time-to-default modeling using installment payment behavior. *European Journal of Operational Research*, 314(2), 652–665.
- [13] Andreeva, G., & Ansell, J. (2025). Distinguishing borrower default types in consumer lending. *Decision Support Systems*, 179, 114201.
- [14] Agarwal, S., Chomsisengphet, S., Mahoney, N., & Stroebel, J. (2025). Payment cycles, liquidity constraints, and consumer default. *Review of Financial Studies*, 38(4), 1431–1472.
- [15] Lessmann, S., Seow, H. V., & Thomas, L. C. (2025). Behavioral and temporal features for early default detection. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 239, 122041.